

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/817,547	03/2 7/9	7 ADERMANN		K	07856-0007
	HM12/0315		乛		EXAMINER
JONES & ASKEW 191 PEACHTREE STREET 37TH FLOOR				KEMMERER, E	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA GA	30303-176	₹		1646	15
				DATE MAILED:	03/15/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/817,547

Applicant(s)

Adermann et al.

Examiner

Elizabeth C. Kemmerer

Group Art Unit 1646



X Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Dec 1998	•
X This action is FINAL .	
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for f 	formal matters, presenting as to the mority is alocad
in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s) 6	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claim(s)	•
☐ Claim(s)	
☑ Claims 6-21	
	3.5 500)361 to 1551.151.011 of 61601.011 (491)611611.
Application Papers	Davis PTO 040
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	
The proposed drawing correction, filed on	isapproveddisapproved.
The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numbers	oer)
\square received in this national stage application from the Ir	nternational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	·
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	,
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	IF FOULOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 08/817,547

Art Unit: 1646

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Application, Amendments, And/Or Claims

The amendment filed 21 December 1998 (Paper No. 14) has been entered in full. Claims 1-5

are canceled. Claim 6 remains pending and withdrawn from consideration by the examiner as being

directed to a non-elected invention. Newly submitted claims 7-21 are pending and under examination.

Withdrawn Objections And/Or Rejections

Applicant has now complied with all of the requirements for receiving the benefit of priority

from PCT/EP95/03757 under 35 U.S.C. § 371.

The application is now fully in compliance with the sequence rules, 37 CFR 1.821-1.825.

The objection to claims 3-5 for informalities as set forth at p. 4 of the previous Office Action

(Paper No. 12, 13 August 1998) is withdrawn in view of the canceled claims (Paper No. 14, 21

December 1998).

The rejection of claim 5 under 35 U.S.C. §§101 and 112, second paragraph, as set forth at

pp. 4-5 of the previous Office Action (Paper No. 12, 13 August 1998) is withdrawn in view of the

canceled claim (Paper No. 14, 21 December 1998).

The rejection of claims 3-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as set forth at p. 5 of

the previous Office Action (Paper No. 12, 13 August 1998) is withdrawn in view of the canceled

claims (Paper No. 14, 21 December 1998).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 08/817,547 Page 3

Art Unit: 1646

The rejection of claims 3-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as set forth at pp. 5-7 of the previous Office Action (Paper No. 12, 13 August 1998) is *withdrawn* in view of the canceled claims (Paper No. 14, 21 December 1998). However, the newly submitted claims are rejected under a similar basis. Please see section on 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, below.

The rejection of claims 3-5 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by any one of Nussbaum et al. or Tampe et al. as set forth at pp. 8-9 of the previous Office Action (Paper No. 12, 13 August 1998) is *withdrawn* in view of the canceled claims (Paper No. 14, 21 December 1998). Also, neither reference suggests that the disclosed antibodies recognize only *active* hPTH peptides, which is required by the newly submitted claims.

35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

Claims 7-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Newly submitted claims 7-16 are directed to compositions for detecting active hPTH comprising antibodies or fragments of antibodies that are capable of binding active hPTH. Newly submitted claims 17-21 are directed to immunological methods of detecting active hPTH utilizing the same antibodies or fragments thereof as well as a second antibody or fragment thereof. The specification discloses several fragments of hPTH, and states that antibodies can be prepared against these peptides which apparently recognize active hPTH fragments (such as hPTH(1-37)) and not

Application/Control Number: 08/817,547

Art Unit: 1646

inactive hPTH peptides (such as hPTH(1-84)), although this is not clear from the specification. The specification provides general methods for making hPTH peptides and antibodies which recognize them; however, the specification does not disclose how to make antibodies which bind active hPTH but not inactive hPTH. It is also hard to theorize how such antibodies would identify one and not the other. For example, the disclosed peptides hPTH(1-10), hPTH(2-9), etc., disclosed at pp. 3-5 are all comprised within the inactive hPTH(1-84). If an antibody recognized an epitope in one of these short fragments, it would also reasonably be expected to recognize the longer peptide in which the epitope is comprised. Furthermore, there is an absence of working examples directed to such antibodies. The nature f the invention is complex, given the complexity of antibody structure, antibody-epitope interaction, and prediction of protein folding structure (see Bowie et al. and Ngo et al.). The prior art indicates that algorithms designed to identify epitopes have proven highly unpredictable, with algorithms both identifying sequences as epitopes which did not prove to be so, and also failing to identify true epitopes (Daniel et al., of record). Finally, the breadth of the claims is large, considering claims 7, 15, 17 and 21 do not specify the particular epitope or antibody required to achieve the effect. For all of these reasons, undue experimentation would have been required of the skilled artisan to make and use the claimed invention in its full scope.

Applicant argues (pp. 7-8) that the rejection should be withdrawn in view of the canceled claims. The rejection has been withdrawn for the canceled claims. Applicant also urges that the specification enables the newly submitted claims, especially considering the examiner's statement in the previous Office Action that the specification is enabling for antibodies raised against the disclosed

Application/Control Number: 08/817,547

Art Unit: 1646

peptides and for methods of detecting hPTH using same. This has been fully considered but is not deemed to be persuasive, because the examiner's previous statement does not contradict the instant

rejection. Although the specification is enabling for antibodies raised against the disclosed peptides

and for methods of detecting hPTH using same, it is not enabling for antibodies which recognize only

active hPTH or for methods of detecting active hPTH using same, which is required by the claims.

35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 7-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing

to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the

invention. Claims 7-21 are vague and indefinite due to the recitation of an antibody which is "capable

of" binding a peptide. It is not clear what conditions are require to allow for such binding, such that

it is not clear whether the recited antibodies bind the peptides or not. It is suggested that Applicant

consider amending the claims to recite "...antibodies or fragments of antibodies [that are capable of

selectively binding to] which selectively bind active hPTH", which is one way to overcome the

rejection. Additionally, the method steps of claims 17-21 do not achieve the goal set forth in the

preamble (i.e., detecting active hPTH). Specifically, is it not clear what result is required from

contacting the sample with the second antibody or antibody fragment capable of binding an epitope

of hPTH which is different from that bound by the first antibody.

Page 5

Art Unit: 1646

Claim Objections

Claims 7-17 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 7-16 are improper

composition claims. The claims are directed to a composition, which by definition contains more than

one compound, yet only one compound if required. Amending the claims to recite "and a carrier" is

one way to resolve this issue. Independent claims 7, 15 and 17 recite the abbreviation "hPTH"

without providing a definition thereof. In the interest of clarity, it is suggested that Applicant adopt

the following in the independent claims "...human parathyroid hormone (hPTH)...". Additionally, the

word "of" appears to be missing after "capable". Appropriate correction is required.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is

reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS

from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the

date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

Art Unit: 1646

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

This application contains claim 6 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No.

11. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth C. Kemmerer, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 308-2673. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays from 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. The examiner can also normally be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lila Feisee, can be reached on (703) 308-2731.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4242. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

ELIZABETH KEMMEDED

Elyabek C. Kenmen

ELIZABETH KEMMERER PRIMARY EXAMINER

ECK March 11, 1999